

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RICHARD LIEBERMAN,	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	NO. 14-3393
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
CORPORACION EXPERIENCA	:	
UNICA, S.A., et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

RICHARD KREIBICH, et al.,	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	NO. 14-5102
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
PLAYA DULCE VIDA, S.A., et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

O R D E R

AND NOW, this 27TH day of **December, 2016**, for the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum, the following is hereby **ORDERED**:

In the case of Lieberman v. Corporacion Experiencia Unica, S.A., No. 14-3393:

(1) Plaintiffs' Motion to Appoint Receiver (ECF No. 45) is **DENIED**.

(2) Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Reply (ECF

No. 49) is **GRANTED**.

(3) Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings
(ECF No. 57) is **DENIED**.

(4) Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Reply (ECF
No. 60) is **GRANTED**.

In the case of Kreibich v. Playa Dulce Vida, S.A., No.
14-5102:

(1) Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No.
32) is **GRANTED** as to Plaintiffs' claims of alter
ego liability/piercing the corporate veil and of
tortious interference with contract, but **DENIED**
as to the remainders of Plaintiffs' claims.

(2) Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings
(ECF No. 41) is **DENIED**.

(3) Defendants' Motions for Leave to File Reply (ECF
Nos. 37, 44) are **GRANTED**.

(4) Plaintiffs' Motions for Leave to File Sur-Replies
(ECF Nos. 38, 39) are **GRANTED**.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Eduardo C. Robreno
EDUARDO C. ROBreno, J.